UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/748,009                | 12/30/2003                    | Jason Mittelstaedt   | 55564.104612        | 6492             |  |
|                           | 7590 08/21/200<br>SANDERS LLP | 7                    | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| 4801 Main Stre            |                               | VU, VIET DUY         |                     |                  |  |
| Suite 1000<br>KANSAS CITY | 7, MO 64112                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                           |                               |                      | 2154                |                  |  |
|                           |                               |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                           |                               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                           |                               |                      | 08/21/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| *  |  |  |  | ACT                 |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
|  | Appl   | lication No.   | Applicant(s)   |                     |  |  |
| Office Astinu Occurrence   |  | 748,009  | MITTELSTAEDT   | MITTELSTAEDT ET AL. |  |  |
| Office Action Summar   | Exar   | miner  | Art Unit   |                     |  |  |
|  | Viet '   | •  | 2154   |                     |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this com<br>Period for Reply   | munication appears o   | on the cover sheet t   | with the correspondence a  | ddress              |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximer Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three may be a second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 | HE MAILING DATE O<br>visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In<br>a communication.<br>num statutory period will apply<br>r reply will, by statute, cause the<br>onths after the mailing date of | OF THIS COMMUN<br>in no event, however, may a<br>and will expire SIX (6) MC<br>the application to become a | IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                     |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s   | s) filed on <u>09 May 200</u>  | <u>06</u> .  |  |                     |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |                     |  |  |
| , ,  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the p  | ractice under Ex part  | e Quayle, 1935 C.  | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                     |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re   | is/are withdrawn from  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to be 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2 Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is object   | 2004 is/are: a)⊠ acc<br>objection to the drawing<br>uding the correction is r  | g(s) be held in abeya<br>equired if the drawin   | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C  | • •                 |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | •  |  |  |                     |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification.  a) All b) Some * c) None  1. Certified copies of the price  2. Certified copies of the price  3. Copies of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies.  | of: prity documents have prity documents have poies of the priority documents partional Bureau (PCT  | e been received. be been received in cuments have bee  | Application No n received in this National   | Stage               |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | Paper No   | v Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>i Informal Patent Application<br>                             |                     |  |  |

Art Unit: 2154

## Non-Art Rejections:

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

## The following language is vague and indefinite:

In claim 1, line 4, "..characteristics that <u>may</u> vary..", the word "may" renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear whether the test message would have characteristics that would vary or not.

In claim 8, line 5+, "..characteristics that are each separate from said content and may be varied..", again the term "may be" renders the claim indefinite because it is not clear whether the test message would have characteristics that would be varied.

It is suggested that applicant to remove the terms "may" and/or "may be" from the present claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,009

Art Unit: 2154

## Art Rejections:

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,978,248.

Per claims 1 and 7, <u>Walker</u> discloses a method of sending an electronic mail message to members of a mass audience each connected to a network comprising:

a) creating a plurality of test messages each having a message content identical in each test message and a plurality of characteristics that may vary, each test message varying from

Application/Control Number: 10/748,009

Art Unit: 2154

all other test messages in at least one of said characteristics (see col 10, lines 17-34);

- b) storing said message content and retrieving said message content from storage for inclusion in each test message (col 10, lines 47-53);
- c) sending each test message on said network to different members of said mass audience with all of the test messages together being sent to a minority of the members of said audience (col 10, lines 59-67);
- d) evaluating feedback as to the effectiveness of each test message (col 11, lines 37-55);
- e) creating a final message based on the evaluation of the feedback; and
- f) sending said final message on the network to all members of said audience (see col 8, lines 10-28).

<u>Walker</u> teaches conducting surveys to pre-test effectiveness of particular goods, services or feature contents on a group of users (<u>see col 7, lines 6-39</u>). <u>Walker</u> also teaches paying users who participate in the test/survey (<u>see col 5, lines 3-11</u>).

<u>Walker</u> however does not explicitly teach employing different group of users for receiving the test message and different group of users who would receive the final message.

Art Unit: 2154

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any group or subgroup of users in practicing Walker's invention. The use of exclusive subgroup of users for testing would have been motivated because it would have allowed business to pretest or conduct survey for their products or services on paid users (see col 5, lines 3-11).

Per claims 2-5, it is noted that a conventional email message comprises different fields including address, date/time and subject.

Per claim 6, <u>Walker</u> teaches randomly selecting users for receiving the test (<u>see col 3</u>, lines 38-44).

Claims 8-20 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-7.

## Conclusion:

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm. The Group general information number is 571-272-2100. The Group fax number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/748,009

Art Unit: 2154

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

Art Unit 2154 8/8/07